GROSSMONT COLLEGE

Planning & Institutional Effectiveness Committee (PIEC) Friday, May 16, 2025

11:00 a.m. – 12:30p.m. Minutes

College Conference Room 10-102

ZOOM LINK

Purpose The goal of the Planning and Institutional Effectiveness Committee is to ensure a culture of continuous quality improvement and data-informed decision-making. Best practices for institutional effectiveness include improving equity and student learning and achievement by analyzing data and using results to inform practice. It uses environmental scan data as well as institutional outcomes to drive institutional responses. The committee reviews program assessment results against the college's mission, values, and strategic goals. The committee is also responsible for assuring the continuous integration of planning across the campus, regularly evaluating the college's progress to ensure institutional effectiveness.

ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF GROSSMONT

EX-OFFICIO

CO-CHAIRS

(voting)	(voting)	(voting)
☑ Joan Garcia Ahrens	☐ Kalia Nakamura	⊠Victoria Christine Rodriguez
⊠Juliana Bertin	☐ Julio Hernandez	⊠ Susana Munoz
	□ TBD	⊠ Karolia Macias
		⊠ Karen Hern
ACADEMIC SENATE (voting)	CLASSIFIED SENATE (Voting)	ADMINISTRATORS' ASSOCIATION (Voting)
☑ Denise Schulmeyer	⊠Michele Martens	⊠Courtney Willis
⊠Eva Nicasio	⊠ Brandi Tonne	□Niko Crumpton
⊠Summer Webb		☐Wayne Branker
	ADVISORY (non-voting)	
□Agustín Albarrán, VP Academic Affairs	☐TBD, District Research Planning	☐ Maria Denise Aceves, Curriculum
☐ Adrianne Garay-Lee, Student Services	⊠Joyce Fries, Academic Program Review	
☐Sheree Stopper, Administrative Services	⊠Kelly Menck, Academic Program Review	
☐Marcelo Nieto, Research and Planning	⊠Rafael Ayala, Research and Planning	
CPIE	G	UEST
☑ Christopher Yerkes		
☐ Carmen Hernandez		
⊠PJ Ball		
		_
	ROUTINE BUSINESS	

ROUTINE BUSINESS			
1.	Welcome and Introductions	Joan welcomed all committee members to the last PIEC meeting of the Spring	
		2025 semester.	
2.	Public Comment	N/A	
3.	Additions/Deletions to Agenda	N/A	
4.	Approve meeting notes: a. 04/18/2025	Approved by consensus	
NEW BUSINESS			
5.	PIEC Co-Chair Election	Joan opened the discussion by thanking outgoing Classified co-chair Juliana for	

her service and initiated the process to elect a new Faculty co-chair. Due to faculty turnover and unclear eligibility for some members, the conversation focused on clarifying who could serve.

Action Item:

 The committee agreed to postpone the co-chair election until the first fall meeting when all faculty appointments are confirmed. A faculty representative may attend the summer retreat as a proxy to ensure participation from the committee.

6. AUP Process for Fall 2025

Joan reviewed the Annual Unit Plan (AUP) timeline and requirements with the committee and asked members to share the information with their constituent groups.

Action Items:

- Reps should share the AUP resources with their constituent groups.
- Remind units to verify SLO accuracy on syllabi.
- Encourage early, collaborative preparation for October 1 AUP deadline.

UPDATE

7. <u>Governance Handbook</u> Review

The committee reviewed the current draft of the PIEC section in the Governance Handbook.

- Purpose Statement: Members reviewed the purpose statement and no suggestions for revisions were given.
- Responsibilities: Members reviewed the responsibilities section.
 Accreditation standard references need to be updated to the current numbering format
- Membership: Members reviewed the membership section. The current composition of the committee needs to be updated. The agenda shows three classified reps, while the handbook only lists two.

8. <u>AUP Assessment Survey</u> Results

The committee reviewed the results of the AUP Assessment Survey, which was distributed to department chairs, program coordinators, College Council cochairs, and prioritization committee members

Action Items:

- Upload updated prioritization rubrics to Nuventive before the prioritization cycle begins.
- Promote and distribute the updated AUP resource list, including timelines, handbooks, and CPI contact information.
- Stick to established deadlines for AUP and resource request submissions moving forward.
- Continue Nuventive training and provide ongoing support through scheduled open sessions.
- Explore a spotlight/panel feature highlighting effective AUP submissions and outcomes.

9. Accreditation Update

The accreditation draft report is planned for release to the campus community in June for feedback. The draft is planned to be submitted to the Governing Board and Chancellor early in fall 2025 to review before the December 15th submission deadline to ACCJC. Updates and cleanup have been made to the accreditation webpage with support from Carmen Hernandez. Additional improvements are underway to ensure the page is accurate and reviewer-friendly, as the peer review team may begin evaluating the site prior to receiving the ISER.

	FOR FOLLOW-UP AT NEXT MEETING	
11. Reports on PIEC-related topics from constituency groups and other committees (as needed) • Associated Stude • Classified Senate: • Faculty Senate: • Admin Associatio	Lopez will step down at the end of the semester, and President Sharon Sampson will assume the role moving forward.	
10. Planning Forum Update	 Action items: Departments w/ active improvement plans are encouraged to continue progress over summer and into fall to prepare for the spring peer review. All departments are urged to remove outdated materials from their webpages to ensure accuracy for the public during the accreditation review. The Planning Forum is scheduled for Friday, September 5. A sign-up sheet will be circulated, and members are asked to participate or assist with the event. 	

<u>Important:</u> Annual Collegewide Planning Forum POSTPONED to Friday, September 5, 2025

Next Meeting Date: Friday, September 19, 2025

PLANNING & INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS COMMITTEE (PIEC) MEETING NORMS

October 20, 2023

In participatory government, a high level of collegiality, respect, and civility is expected. Those expectations include the following:

In Person Setting

- Everybody's voice is equal, important and valuable.
- Operate under the assumption that everyone is speaking with a positive intent.

- Be solution focused when you don't understand where someone is coming from.
- If there are times when we feel unsafe. Find ways to recalibrate and move forward in a gentle way.
- Raise hand to let folks know you are going to speak. Be respectful of others who may have raised their hand before you.
- When measuring consensus members will use the <u>Thumb up</u>- all the way in; <u>Thumb middle-</u> can live with it; <u>Thumb down</u>- want to keep talking about additional solutions.
- Use a parking lot.
- If a proxy is requested make sure the proxy is briefed prior to the meeting.

Virtual Setting

- Conversations in the chat should be discussed.
- At the beginning of the meeting, members may drop an emoji from the Zoom library as a quick check-in at the beginning of the meeting. This would help us calibrate our body language with one another.
- Consensus will be measured in the chat. The co-chair will type the item to be vote on in the chat. Voting members will type in the chat; <u>Yes-agree</u>; <u>No-disagree</u>; <u>Abstain-decline</u> to vote.